PROPOSAL SUMMARY

| Project Title | Piloting of some climate-resilient development initiatives at Char Kazal, Galachipa, Patuakhali: an innovative concept of CBA to CC |
|------------------------------|--|
| Project Site | Union: Charkazal, Upazila: Golachipa, District: Patuakhli Char Kajal lays between 21°11′21′′N to 21°57′30′′N lat. and 90°29′41′′E to 90°35′53′′ E long. |
| Proponent | Name of Organization: Center for Natural Resource Studies (CNRS) Address: House # 19/B, Road # 16, Block B, Banani, Dhaka 1213 Email: anis@cnrs.org.bd, info@cnrs.org.bd, web: www.cnrs.org.bd Phone: 9886514, 01711813407, Fax: 9880928 Contact Person: M. Anisul Islam, Executive Director (Acting), CNRS |
| Authorized | M. Anisul Islam, Executive Director (Acting), CNRS |
| Representatives | Email: <u>anis@cnrs.org.bd</u> , Phone: 9886514, 01711813407 |
| Cooperating Organizations | Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI) for technical support and financial assistance <u>Contact person</u>: Md. Shamsher Ali, CSO and Head, Biotechnology Division; Phone- 9257401-5 Ext. 493; email: <u>mds_ali2003@yahoo.com</u> Chevron-Bangladesh for financial assistance <u>Contact person</u>: Obaidullah Al-Ejaz, Manager, Community Relations External Affairs, "Bay's Galleria" (4th Floor), 57 Gulshan Avenue, Gulshan- 1, Dhaka 1212. Phone- 9892244, 8828891, Ext. 258, Cell- 01730335467, email: <u>oaejaz@chevron.com</u> |
| Project Dates | January 2011 to December 2012 |
| Total Project | Planning phase: US \$ 1,900 (BDT 133,000) |
| Cost (USD) | Implementation phase: US \$ 185,945 (BDT 13,016,130) |
| (local currency) | |
| Amount | Planning phase: US \$ 1000 (BDT 70,000) |
| Requested | Implementation phase: US \$ 49,887 (BD1 3,492,090) |
| IFOID CBA | |
| (USD) (local currency) | |
| Co-financing | Local Community: US \$ 14,120 (BDT 988,400) as kind |
| (USD) (local | CNRS: US \$ 11,000 (BDT 770,000) as kind |
| currency) | BRRI: US \$ 9,760 (BDT 6,83,200) |
| U / | Chevron: US \$ 96,178 (BDT 6,732,440) |

| Project Objective | The project is intended to promote community-based adaptive capacity of the people at Char Kajal through piloting of adaptive agriculture practices, renovation of house and boat to make them cyclone-resilient, and conservation of land by promoting reforestation/aforestation of mangroves. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Brief Project Description | The description of the project area and its inhabitant depict that the livelihoods of the community of Char Kazal is largely dependent on the natural resources. The area is highly exposed to risks associated with climate variability and change. Frequent cyclonic events with storm surge, river bank erosion, increased salinity, increased incidents of abnormal high tides, increased frequency of rough sea weather conditions (signal # 3), erratic rainfall and higher temperatures are the visible climate related hazards, here. Stress on livelihoods is evidentially increased in the recent past. Other than the livelihoods and natural resources, social life of local people is at high risk because of climate induced risks. Therefore, the project is envisaged for developing resilience at some of the areas that facilitate to sustain livelihoods, and local-institutional system by promoting community based adaptation to climate change. The activities include adaptive agriculture practices, house and boat renovation to make them cyclone-resilient, and conservation of land by promoting reforestation/aforestation of mangroves. Being a pioneer organization in implementing community-based projects, CNRS, proposes this project to pilot some of the initiatives on climate resilient development in association with the community. However, the project will promote adaptation means, and will initiate to make positive change in the ecosystem and its services. The project will demonstrate some alternative options for better livelihood especially for the poor, women and youth. Finally, the project will emphasis on the empowerment of the local institutions. |
| | |

1.0 RATIONALE

The project is intended to build adaptive capacity of the community living at Char Kazal union of Golachipa upazila under Patuakhli district. Char Kajal lays between 21°11′21′′N to 21°57′30′′N lat. and 90°29′41′′E to 90°35′53′′ E long., and is situated at one of the most vulnerable area of Bangladesh. The map of Char Kazal is attached in Annex-1.

Char Kazal is a riverine island of 187.8 km², bounded by two rivers – Bura Gaurango and Tetulia. The island is divided by Bura Gaurango river from the Galachipa main land, and by Tetulia fiver from Bhola. Char Kazal includes 4 villages. During monsoon, the breadth of Bura Gaurango becomes 7-10 km, and causes difficulty to reach to the main land for the essential reasons including emergency medical purposes. The area is exposed to some frequent hazards associated with climate variability and change. Poverty is a common issue here, as well.

As part of the 'planning phase', CNRS conducted a detail census at the area. The result shows that the union is inhabited by about 21,110 people belongs to 4448 households (HH) with an average family size of 4.7. The socio-economic status is so lamentable, here. For instance, about 26 and 60% of HHs do not pose any land for homestead and farming, respectively. Another 66.5% HH own 50 decimal or less land for homestead. Table-1 represents that about 83.9% HH falls in the category of either Landless or Marginal. Agriculture, wage-labor, fishing are considered as major primary occupations of the community (Table-2). However, the community is almost entirely depended on natural resource bases for their livelihood.

| Category | # HH | 0/0 |
|-----------------------|------|------|
| Landless-1 (0 dec) | 2680 | 60.3 |
| Landless-2 (1-50 dec) | 461 | 10.4 |
| Marginal (51-150 dec) | 589 | 13.2 |
| Small (151-250 dec) | 265 | 6.0 |
| Medium (251-500 dec) | 227 | 5.1 |
| Large (Over 500 dec) | 226 | 5.1 |
| Total | 4448 | 100 |

| Table-1: | Distribution | of | HH | by | cultivable |
|----------|--------------|----|----|----|------------|
| land | | | | | |

| Table-2: Distribution of HH by pri | imary |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| occupation | |
| Primary occupation | # HH |

| Primary occupation | # HH | % |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------|
| Agriculture (own land) | 172 | 3.9 |
| Agriculture (own + share-in) | 740 | 16.6 |
| Agriculture (lease & share-in) | 292 | 6.6 |
| Agriculture day labour | 515 | 11.6 |
| Day labor(none agriculture) | 808 | 18.2 |
| Fishing | 728 | 16.4 |
| Business (M & L) | 228 | 5.1 |
| Petty business | 236 | 5.3 |
| Fish business | 40 | 0.9 |
| Service (Govt./NGO) | 215 | 4.8 |
| Remittance | 57 | 1.3 |

During the study, the land use pattern was identified (Fig-01). It was revealed that most part of the land once were utilized for agricultural practices. However, soil degradation of crop lands has become a great concern since last 10-15 years. Sand carpeting and salinity intrusion are the major two causes for land degradation. Fig-02 illustrates that a major part (about 66%) of the total land have been degraded already. That means bellow 10% area of the land remains productive for agriculture that indicates about the level of food scarcity here. The sand-carpeting incidence forced many of the community members to change occupation. However, the cropping pattern (Fig-03) at the aforesaid

productive land indicates, the crop intensity (bellow 2), and hence the land efficiency remains bellow average.

The area is highly exposed to risks associated with climate variability and change. Frequent cyclonic events with storm surge, river bank erosion, increased salinity, increased incidents of abnormal high tides, increased frequency of rough sea weather conditions (signal # 3), erratic rainfall and higher temperatures are the visible climate related hazards as mentioned by the local communities. The natural calamities and their hazardous level at the coastal zone were also assessed my many studies under different projects including Climate Change Cell (CCC) of Comprehensive Disaster Management Program (CDMP).

Community people are largely failed to combat with the increased intensity of the hazards including the unknown ones. Along with the adverse affect on livelihood, the hazards have been making serious consequences on the resource bases including some special ones that provide habitat and ecological *niche* for numbers of species of both flora and fauna. For instance, the area also consists a patch of mangrove forest that has been shrinking with a greater pace in contemporary period. A pictorial documentation about the resources and issues are presented in Annex-2.



Fig-01: Land-use pattern

Regarding future climate change incidents, people have less clarity to define what would be the scenario. They, however, afraid of higher water volume in rivers (increase in river water), more intense cyclone & storm surge, higher salinity, loss of biodiversity including fish and crisis of freshwater. Interestingly, most of the poor do not have strategy for adapting to these future climate change induced hazards and shocks.

| Сгор | Coverage (% cultivable land) | Baysha k (Apr- May) | Jaushat a (May- Jun) | Asar (Jun- Jul) | Sraban (Jul- Aug) | <i>Vadro</i> (Agu- Sep) | Arshin (Sep- Oct) | <i>Kartik</i> (Oct- Nov) | Agraho n (Nov- Dec) | Paush (Dec- Jan) | <i>Magh</i> (Jan- Feb) | Falgun (Feb- Mar) | <i>Chatra</i> (Mar- Apr) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Musuri | 5-8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kheshari Kalai | 15-20 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T-Aman | 70-80 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Til | 8-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Melan | 7-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boro | 7-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mug | 27-30 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fig-03: The cropping pattern at the productive lands of Char Kazal (source: CNRS PLUS, 2010)

However, the project will initiate to facilitate the local community to adopt the techniques to cope with some of the climate change induced natural calamities. The project will primarily focus on a few areas: (a) adaptive agriculture to enhance the potentiality of food security, (b) protection of houses, boats and cattle from cyclones/depressions, and (c) conservation of land by promoting reforestation/ aforestation of mangroves. Moreover, for the sustainability of the project initiatives local institutions will be trained and involved.

2.0 COMMUNITY OWNERSHIP

Project Formulation :

As part of the project formulation, some of the participatory tools including (1) Vulnerability Risk Assessment (VRA), (2) Participatory Land Use Survey (PLUS) and (3) Participatory Action Plan Development (PAPD) were conducted where the representatives from different strata of the community were ensured. Therefore, the design of the project became as the out-put of the needs and constraints identified and prioritize by the community themselves. Annex-3 represents a pictorial documentation of the process.

Project Implementation :

The local institutions (i.e. UP-UDMC and other relevant stakeholders) are already been encouraged to form a Community-based Management Committee (CMC). The CMC will act as the 'Implementer' of the project. Initiatives also will be taken so that the CMC are registered under Social Welfare Department. However, CNRS will over see and coordinate the project.

Phase-Out Mechanism, Sustainability :

The Community-based Management Committee (CMC) will be trained and encouraged in ensuring continuous sustainability of the implemented activities.

| Contribution of the volunteers to the CBA Project | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------|-----|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|---------------|---|--|
| Project Activities | Description of the voluntary contribution | Total number of volunteers to be mobilized | Women | Men | Elderly persons (older than 60) | Youth (vounger than 25) | People with disabilities | Local | National | International | Number of volunteer days anticipated | Monetary value of the voluntary contribution including labor and materials |
| Trial of soil tolerent rice variety | Land, labor, etc. | 20 | | 10 | | 10 | | | | | 300 | USD 2,200 |
| Trial of alternative crops at the degraded farmlands | Land, labor, etc. | 20 | | 10 | | 10 | | | | | 300 | USD 2,200 |
| Trial of minimizing sail salinity affect on vegetable cultivation | Land, labor, etc. | 60 | 30 | | 10 | 20 | | | | | 600 | USD 3,400 |
| Trial of crop intensification at farmlands | Land, labor, etc. | 20 | | 10 | | 10 | | | | | 500 | USD 3,000 |
| Trial of crab fattening at inundated lands | Land, labor, etc. | 10 | | | | 5 | | | | | 200 | USD 1,300 |
| Demonstration renovation of houses to make them cyclone resilient | Labor, home, etc. | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | 40 | USD 1,600 |
| Demonstration renovation of country-boats to make them cyclone resilient | Labor, boat, etc. | 4 | | | | 4 | | | | | 40 | USD 1,000 |

For reference: What are the mechanisms for volunteerism that already exist in the community before the CBA project?

Char Kazal is at such a remote place where people use to help each other in case of emergency. In fact, it is the neighbours who are the ones to extend hands until the external assistance from government reach there.

For reference: Number of volunteers in the community already engaged in climate change adaptation activities before the CBA project.

There are about a hundred of volunteers involved with the government early-warning system for cyclones.

For reference: What are the opportunities or obstacles that could facilitate or impede people from engaging in voluntary activities?

People have courage to get relief from the intensified and frequent natural calamities.

3.0 PROPONENT DESCRIPTION

CNRS became operational in the field as a non-governmental development organization upon being registered with the NGO Affairs Bureau in 1994. Initially, the key focus of CNRS was to put on community-based co-management of natural resources with active engagement and leadership of local user communities and other relevant stakeholders with the understanding that the livelihoods of millions of poor households depend on natural resources for their sustenance. Since formation, CNRS has been active in this field and able to draw attention of the relevant local, national and international actors including government and donor agencies through demonstrating innovations in its approaches and producing tangible learning outcomes. Over the years, during the course of its journey, CNRS incorporated other areas of development which include skills building, livelihoods options & employment creation, market linkage development, empowerment, WATSAN, disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation. Attention is also drawn on gender equity, good governance and advocacy with an objective of ensuring sustainable development through a process of establishing rights of access to resources by the poor user communities. Besides, CNRS runs a micro-credit program of its own in its area of operation facilitating capitation for the small initiatives taken by the poor resource users as means of alternative income generation.

Vision: CNRS dreams to see that people and nature live in harmony in a world free from exploitation and exclusion.

Mission: Empowering marginalized communities with required skills and capabilities for making change that favors pro-poor, inclusive and lasting development and minimizing gaps with the rich towards a better co-existence

Goal: The goal of CNRS is to join with others in influencing the national development strategy in directions that supports national development strategy building or rather destroying the nation's environmental resources.

Approaches: In its journey, CNRS adopts diverse approaches and methods, developed by itself as well as with the help of partners. The system has been evolving through a process of challenges and responses. With its flexible structure, CNRS is continuously gaining and sharpening its knowledge base from various sources, especially from participating communities including various development partners.

Legal Status: CNRS is registered with NGO Affairs Bureau of People's Republic of Bangladesh vide No. 841 dated 6 July 1994 (under the Foreign Donations Regulation Ordinance 1978) and also registered with the Societies Registration Act, XXI of 1860 (No. S-2463 (56)/2000) dated on 13 September 2000.

Organizational Membership: CNRS keeps active co-operation and association with national and international organizations working in the development sector. It also keeps close attachment with the main stream sustainable human development efforts in Bangladesh. CNRS has partnership and membership with some Forums and Associations. Among them the followings are worth mentioning:

- IUCN Bangladesh National Committee
- PRA Promoters' Society-Bangladesh (PPS-BD)
- Coastal Fisher-folk Community Network (COFCON)
- Credit Development Forum (CDF) and
- Asian DRR network

• Bangladesh Network for Environmental Governance (BNEG)

Major Clients and Partners

Clients and Donors:

Government of Bangladesh, UNDP, UNICEF, UNOPS, WFP, UNESCO, FAO, GEF/UNDP, World Bank, DFID-UK and Bangladesh, EC, USAID, SDC, Ford Foundation, IUCN Netherlands, Oxfam GB, Oxfam Hong Kong, Shell BVD, Cairn Energy, Royal Netherlands Embassy, CIDA and other international and national agencies.

Partners

International:

WorldFish Center (CGIAR Center based in Malaysia), Inter Cooperation (Switzerland), ITDG (UK), Winrock International (USA), ITAD (UK), Reading University (UK), Newcastle University (UK), Oriental Bird Club (UK), MRAG (UK), IUCN-Bangladesh, CARE Bangladesh, Practical Action (UK), Action Aid Bangladesh, Manitoba University (Canada), NRSP and FMSP/Huntings-DFID, Concern Worldwide, ECHO

National:

MoEF, MoFL, WARPO, BWDB, DoF, DoE, LGED, DPHE, Disaster Management Bureau, BRAC, PROSHIKA, Caritas, Dhaka University, Bangladesh Agriculture University, Rajshahi University, BARI, BRRI, DAE, BARC, BRAC University, North South University, CEGIS and other government agencies and NGOs.

| Fiscal Year | Income (BDT) | Expenditure (BDT) |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 2009-2010 | 278,970,545 | 277,168,954 |
| 2008-2009 | 239,754,310 | 215,972,320 |
| 2007-2008 | 175,401,405 | 151,264,208 |
| 2006-2007 | 164,468,491 | 156,582,544 |
| 2005-2006 | 151,535,455 | 137,118,933 |

Income and Expenditure of CNRS in last 5 years

Expertise of CNRS

CNRS has expertise in program development and implementation in different areas of rural development following a holistic and integrated approach such as natural resource management, environmental education, social mobilization, peoples empowerment, health, nutrition, sanitation, education, training, disaster management, emergency relief operation, rehabilitation, flood proofing, gender-disadvantaged/vulnerable group/disable-physically handicap development. The detail profile and registration certificates of the proponent is presented in Annex – 4 and 5, respectively. However, special expertise that has been practiced by CNRS in its all projects is as follows:

- 1. Livelihood Analysis
- 2. Community Based Management
- 3. Institutional Development
- 4. PAPD (Participatory Action Plan Development) as Consensus Building among the stakeholders
- 5. Spatial Data Development and Analysis : GIS
- 6. Participatory Monitoring (Report Card)
- 7. Community Mobilization
- 8. Capacity Building and Training
- 9. Private-public Linkage Development
- 10. Governance
- 11. Right Based Activities
- 12. CNRS Linkage with Civil Society

13. Community Risk Reduction (CRA)

14. Disaster Risk Reduction

Experience of CNRS in coastal zone

CNRS has been working in the coastal areas from late nineties. A partial list of the involvements of the organization in the coastal zone is presented bellow:

- 1. Conducted post SIDR assessment in 2007-08 in the affected areas including Patuakhali-Barguna in assistance with UNDP (as emergency response partner of UNDP);
- 2. conducted SIDR rehabilitation activities with the fisher communities of greater Barisal Division in 2008 in assistance of FAO;
- 3. Conducted Environmental Monitoring of Seismic survey in Patuakhali (2009), Community Consultation in Patuakhali (on going, 2010-2011) in assistance with Chevron;
- 4. Presently, we have been implementing process monitoring of social investment program project (World Bank supported project for 2009-2011) in Bagerhat, Pirojpur, Barguna and Patuakhali districts;
- 5. Conducted a study on Fishing community in greater Chittagong in assistance with in 2003;
- 6. Conducted socio-economic baseline and prepared an alternative livelihoods plan for fisher community of greater Chittagong in assistance with CARE Bangladesh in 2008;
- 7. Conducted Hilsha breeding survey in Meghnaestuary including Hatiya under MES II (Meghna Estuary Survey) in 2001-2002 in assistance with Royal Dutch Embassy;
- 8. Conducted participatory action plan development workshops with forests and marine resource users in Char Bata, Hatiya and other island chars in Noakhali;
- 9. Conducted marine fisheries monitoring in Noakhali in 2003;
- 10. Monitoring of the impacts of rural roads on local environment at Noakhlai sadar upazila with support of CARE Bangladesh in 1997-98;
- 11. Sea turtle conservation and public awareness activities at Teknaf, Cox's Bazaar, Kutubdia and Mohelskhali since October 1998 with support of the Shell Oil Company;
- 12. Being a partner of Empowerment of Coastal Fishing Communities (ECFC a FAO/DoF project) we mobilized coastal fishers in Teknaf, Kutubdia and Chakoria upazilas during 2000-2001;
- 13. Coastal biodiversity planning at the coastal char areas of Noakhali with support of the Royal Netherlands Embassy in 2000;
- 14. Carried out participatory district development planning exercise as partner of ICZMP and WARPO and developed the plan for the Bhola and Cox's bazaar districts with CEGIS;

CNRS has been involved in numbers of projects working on climate change. A list of the projects and "Data Sheet" of Climate Change, NRM and Livelihoods Projects are presented in Annex -6 & 7.

Banking Information

| Name of Account: | Center for Natural Resource Studies | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Name of Bank: | Prime Bank Limited | | | | |
| Account Number: | 13231060000279 | | | | |
| Branch: | Banani Branch, Dhaka 1213 | | | | |

4.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

4.1 **Objective, Outcomes, Planned Outputs:**

The overall objective of the project is to develop community-based adaptation to climate change mechanism through implementation of adaptive agriculture, renovating home and boat to make them cyclone resilient, and conservation of land by promoting reforestation/aforestation of mangroves. However, the log-frame of the project is presented bellow:

| Project Objective: The objective of the project is to increase community-level capacity to be able to |
|---|
| adapt to climate change induced natural calamities, and hence to improve livelihood of the |
| community at Char Kajal. |
| Outcome 1.0: Capacity for adaptive farming increased in the face of climate change risks |
| Output 1.1: Demonstration trial of saline tolerant rice varieties |
| Output 1.2: Demonstration trial of alternative crops (i.e. water melon, mazie) at the |
| degraded farmlands that got sand-carpet |
| Output 1.3: Demonstration trial of the technique to minimize soil-salinity affect on |
| vegetable cultivation |
| Output 1.4: Demonstration trial of crop intensification for the farmlands |
| Output 1.5: Demonstration trial of crab fattening at the inundated lands that were |
| once good farmland |
| Output 1.6: Trial of duck rearing at the inundated lands |
| Outcome 2.0: Capacity for coping with natural hazards increased |
| Output 2.1: Demonstration plantation of mangrove to minimize the affect of storm- |
| surges, high-tides, etc. |
| Output 2.2: Demonstration plantation to create live fence with coconut trees to |
| protect homestead from cyclone |
| Output 2.3: Demonstration renovation of houses to make them cyclone resilient. |
| Output 2.4: Demonstration renovation of country-boats to make them cyclone |
| resilient (eligible to be rowed even at signal #3) |
| Output 2.5:Construction of <i>killah</i> to protect cattle during cyclone and storm surge |

4.2 **Timetable**



4.3 **Risks and Barriers**

Community participation, and hence community-based project management is a relatively new approach. The people at Char Kazal are not very familiar to community-contribution in project implementation, as well. This is because, they have been observing that external inputs (as disaster response) are channeled following a natural calamity strikes, by the government, NGOs and well intended civil societies. Therefore, the key barrier might be to change the communities' mind-set from "relief-oriented" to "development-oriented". The prime risk factor is identified that the trend of natural calamities will not be further intensified.

4.4 Monitoring and Evaluation Plan

CNRS personnel will facilitate, and will involve CMC to conduct the monitoring and evaluation activities. For the VRA all indicators (assessed in the Initial VRA analysis) will be monitored and measured again in second VRA meeting planned to be conducted during the implementation phase (halfway) and again upon completion of the project implementation. Depending on funds availability, a fourth consultation may be conducted a year after the completion of the project implementation.

4.4.1 Initial Vulnerability Reduction Assessment (VRA) Analysis

During the initial VRA, a good number of representatives from the different strata of the community were involved. It should be noted that, views of women and young people were valued equally. The VRA session considered all the 5 indicators, prescribed. The process identified that the community prefers to prioritise the initiatives to be taken to adapt with the consequences of climate change are: (1) adaptive agriculture practices, (2) minimizing the affect of cyclone on household assets (i.e. house, boat), and (3) minimizing the propagation of degradation and erosion of land. However, the indicator questions along with the common themes in the answers to the questions, the score and information gather from the initial VRA consultation phase are presented in the following table:

| Vulnerability Reduction Assessment Reporting Form | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator | Question/Questions Used | Sco | Reasons for Negative | Reasons for | How could the | | | | | |
| | | re | Responses | Positive | score be | | | | | |
| | | | | Responses | improved? | | | | | |
| 1. Vulnerability of | What are the calamities | 1 | 1)destroys homestead, | | 1) if they got an | | | | | |
| livelihood/welfare | you face? What happens | | cattle, and other | | innovative & low | | | | | |
| to existing climate | when there is cyclone, | | assets; | | cost know-how to | | | | | |
| change and/or | storm surge, inundation, | | 2)causes degradation | | make their house | | | | | |
| climate variability. | salinity intrusion, erosion | | of farmland; | | & boat resilient to | | | | | |
| | or/and extreme weather | | 3)restricts fishing | | cyclone/strong | | | | | |
| | events? How does this | | opportunities; | | wind; | | | | | |
| | affect you and your | | 4) causes occasional | | 2) if they got the | | | | | |
| | community? | | displacement | | know-how to | | | | | |
| | | | | | continue | | | | | |
| | | | | | agriculture in the | | | | | |
| | | | | | changed situation; | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3) if erosion and | | | | | |
| | | | | | degradation of | | | | | |
| | | | | | mangrove are | | | | | |
| 2 Vulnarshilitri of | What would | 1 | 1) a drugence affecte will | | 1) construction | | | | | |
| 2. Vullerability of livelihood/welfere | happen if the intensity of | 1 | he intensified: | | seawall with | | | | | |
| to developing | the calamities are | | 2) will have no other | | appropriate drainage | | | | | |
| climate change | increased? How would | | options but to migrate | | facility; | | | | | |
| ricks | this | | out | | 2) two step green belt | | | | | |
| 115K5. | affect you and your | | out | | with mangrove | | | | | |
| | community? | | | | (outer) & coconut | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3) more cyclone | | | | | |
| | | | | | shelters for human | | | | | |
| | | | | | and cattle; | | | | | |
| | | | | | 4)better services for | | | | | |
| | | | | | agriculture; | | | | | |
| 3. Magnitude of | How do you cope with the | 3 | 1) some how they | | Need to recognize | | | | | |
| barriers | calamities? Who comes | | survive | | & empower the | | | | | |
| (institutional, | first to assist? How you | | 2) not happy with the | | inherent strength of | | | | | |
| policy, | can take part into the | | government assistance | | the local | | | | | |
| technological, | process? | | 3) community | | institutions and the | | | | | |
| hamiana ta | | | involvement in | | individuals | | | | | |
| odentation | | | government initiatives | | | | | | | |
| A Assets available | What means do your | 6 | | Despite they do | Need to | | | | | |
| 4. Assets available | community have to initiate | 0 | | not have financial | institutionalize the | | | | | |
| adaptation | climate change resilient | | | strength but have | moral strength of | | | | | |
| (volunteers skills | development? | | | courage and | the community by | | | | | |
| commitment | development. | | | traditional | forming a CBO | | | | | |
| indigenous | | | | knowledge that | ionning a CDO | | | | | |
| knowledge. | | | | help them to | | | | | | |
| community | | | | survive, some how | | | | | | |
| leadership, etc.) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Ability and | | 6 | | They fight for their | The CBO needs to | | | | | |
| willingness of the | | | | existence | be registered | | | | | |
| community to | | | | | &empowered | | | | | |
| continue to | | | | | | | | | | |
| manage climate | | | | | | | | | | |
| change risks | | | | | | | | | | |
| VRA Score | | 3.4 | | | | | | | | |

4.4.2 Project M&E Plan

VRA:

| | Approximate timing of | Who ran/ will run the | Who will be responsible | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | VRA sessions | VRA meeting for collecting V | | | | | | |
| First | October 2010 | CNRS personnel | CNRS personnel | | | | | |
| Second/midterm | at project month 13 | CNRS personnel & CMC | CNRS personnel | | | | | |
| Final | at the end of the project | CNRS personnel & CMC | CNRS personnel | | | | | |

IAS:

Considering the notion of the project, the Impact Assessment System (IAS) indicators were set as accordingly that is presented in the following table. The indicators will be measured on a scale of 1 (worst) to 5 (excellent).

| IAS Indicator to be measured | How it will be | When it will be | Target value to be |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | measured | measured | achieved by project end |
| 1. # plots under demonstration trial of saline | by CNRS | at the project | 20 (10 in each year) |
| tolerant rice variety | personnel & CMC | month 13 & 24 | |
| 2. # plots under demonstration trial of | -do- | -do- | 20 (10 in each year) |
| alternative crops at the degraded land | | | |
| 3. # plots under demonstration trial to | -do- | -do- | 60 (30 in each year) |
| minimize soil salinity affect on vegetables | | | |
| 4. # plots under demonstration trial of crop | -do- | -do- | 20 (10 in each year) |
| intensification in farm lands | | | |
| 5. # plots under demonstration trial of crab | -do- | -do- | 10 (5 in each year) |
| fattening | | | |
| 6. # houses renovated with the innovative | -do- | -do- | 2 |
| technology to make cyclone resilient | | | |
| 7. # boats renovated with the innovative | -do- | -do- | 4 |
| technology to make cyclone resilient | | | |
| 8. area covered under mangrove | -do- | -do- | 50 decimal |
| plantation/replantation | | | |
| 9. area covered under coconut tree plantation | -do- | -do- | 50 decimal |

4.5 **Progress Reports**

Progress reports will be submitted in accordance with times marked for VRA and IAS sessions. Indicators will be measured and included in progress reports, accordingly.

4.6 **Project Management**

The local institutions (i.e. UP-UDMC and other relevant stakeholders) are already been encouraged to form a Community-based Management Committee (CMC). The CMC will act as the 'Implementer' of the project. Initiatives also will be taken so that the CMC are registered under Social Welfare Department. However, CNRS will over see and coordinate the project where Mr. MHM Mostafa Rahman will act as Project Manager. The CVs of the Project Manager and the important personnel of CNRS are attached in Annex-8 to 10.

Being a co-financing partner, Chevron will extend fund to some of the activities of the project while BRRI will extend both financial and technical assistance in appropriate cases. The agreement copies between CNRS and the co-financing partners are attached in Annex -11 & 12.

5.0 PROJECT COSTS AND OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDING

It is learnt that CBA project require at least 1:1 support from either the proponent or matching funds from other donor. CNRS is proposing for 1:2.73 matching fund for the grant for implementation stage. It is noted that CNRS has been implementing community consultation for Chevron under which cost of many activities will be covered. Moreover, CNRS has long term MOU with BRRI for cooperation and research. CNRS is going to implement a research project with BRRI and many costs under this project will be borne under this project. The implementation phase is planned for 24 months with a cost of USD 188,638 while CBA grant is USD 48,980. The detail budget in the prescribed form is presented in the following pages.

Planning Grant

It is envisaged that UNDP would provide a additional lumpsum support of USD 1,000 for development of the plan for CBA (including detail proposal). During the planning phase, a total of about USD 1,900 were expended. However, CNRS expect that UNDP will provide USD 1,000, as well for the planning phase.

Total Project Cost and Amount Requested Table

| Outcome & Output Budget Iten | | Budget Home (Description) | Budget Items | Amount Requested from CBA | Amount from Community | | Amount from other Organizations | | | Total USD |
|------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | Budget items (Description) | (USD x) | In Cash USD | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | Name of Organiza tion | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | |
| Outcome 1 | Capacity fo | or adaptive farming increased | | - | | | | | | |
| | Output 1.1 | Trial of soil tolerent rice varie | ety | | | | | | | |
| | | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | | Personnel-Agriculturist | 300 x 4.8months | | | | BRRI | 1,440 | | 1,440 |
| | | Labor | 4 x 300mandays | | | 1,200 | | | | 1,200 |
| | | Land rent | L/S | | | 1,000 | | | | 1,000 |
| | | Inputs | L/S | 3,000 | | | BRRI | 500 | | 3,500 |
| | | Farmers' rally, workshop, | L/S | 500 | | | BRRI | 600 | | 1,100 |
| | | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | CNRS | 100 | | 200 |
| | | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | | & reporting | L/S | - | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| | Output 1.2 | Trial of alternative crops at the | he degraded farmla | nds | | | | | | 100 |
| | | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | 070 | | 490 |
| | | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | | Personnel-Agriculturist | 300 x 4.8months | | | 4 0 0 0 | BKKI | 1,440 | | 1,440 |
| | | Labor | 4 x 300mandays | | | 1,200 | | | | 1,200 |
| | | Land rent | L/S | | | 500 | | | | 500 |
| | | Inputs | L/S | 3,000 | | | BKKI | 500 | | 3,500 |
| | | Farmers rally, workshop, | | 400 | | | וחחח | c00 | | 1 000 |
| | | monitoring, etc. | | 480 | | | DKKI | 000 | | 1,080 |
| | | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | CNRS | 100 | | 200 |
| | | I ransport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | 10- |
| | | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |

| Outcome & Output | Budget Itoms (Description) | Budget Items | Amount Requested from CBA | Amou Comi | Amount from Community | | ount from c rganization | other ns | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| | Buuger items (Description) | (USD x) | In Cash USD | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | Name of Organiza tion | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | |
| Output 1.3 | Trial of minimizing soil salin | ity affect on vegetab | ole cultivation | n | | | | | |
| | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | Personnel-Agriculturist | 300 x 4.8months | | | | BRRI | 1,440 | | 1,440 |
| | Labor | 4 x 600mandays | | | 2,400 | | | | 2,400 |
| | Land rent | L/S | | | 1,000 | | | | 1,000 |
| | Inputs | L/S | 3,000 | | | BRRI | 500 | | 3,500 |
| | Farmers' rally, workshop, | | | | | | | | |
| | monitoring, etc. | L/S | 400 | | | BRRI | 600 | | 1,000 |
| | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | CNRS | 100 | | 200 |
| | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Output 1.4 | Trial of crop intensification a | t farmlands | | | | | | | |
| | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | Personnel-Agriculturist | 300 x 4.8months | | | | BRRI | 1,440 | | 1,440 |
| | Labor | 4 x 500mandays | | | 2,000 | | | | 2,000 |
| | Land rent | L/S | | | 1,000 | | | | 1,000 |
| | Inputs | L/S | 3,000 | | | BRRI | 500 | | 3,500 |
| | Farmers' rally, workshop, | | | | | | | | |
| | monitoring, etc. | L/S | 400 | | | BRRI | 600 | | 1,000 |
| | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | CNRS | 100 | | 200 |
| | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |

| Outcome & Output | Budget Itoms (Description) | Budget Items | Amount Requested from CBA | Amou Comi | nt from nunity | Amo O | Total USD | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| | Budget items (Description) | (USD x) | In Cash USD | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | Name of Organiza tion | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | |
| Output 1.5 | Trial of crab fattening at inur | ndated lands | | | | | | | |
| | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | Personnel-Aquaculturist | 300 x 4months | | | | CNRS | 600 | | 600 |
| | Labor | 4 x 200mandays | | | 800 | | | | 800 |
| | Land rent | L/S | | | 500 | | | | 500 |
| | Inputs | L/S | 2,500 | | | Chevron | 1,000 | | 3,500 |
| | Farmers' rally, workshop, | | | | | | | | |
| | monitoring, etc. | L/S | 400 | | | BRRI | 600 | | 1,000 |
| | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | CNRS | 100 | | 200 |
| | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Output 1.6 | Trial of duck rearing at the in | undated lands | | | | | | | |
| | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | | | | | | | - |
| | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | Personnel-Poultry Expert | 300 x 4months | | | | Chevron | 1,200 | | 1,200 |
| | Labor | 4 x 1000mandays | | | 4,000 | | | | 4,000 |
| | Inputs | L/S | | | | Chevron | 21,429 | | 21,429 |
| | Farmers' rally, workshop, | | | | | | | | |
| | monitoring, etc. | L/S | | | | Chevron | 500 | | 500 |
| | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | | | | Chevron | 200 | | 200 |
| | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | communication, etc. | L/S | | | | Chevron | 100 | | 100 |
| | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |

| Outcome & Output B | | Pudget Home (Description) | Budget Items | Amount Requested from CBA | Amount from Community | | Amo O | Total USD | | |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | Buuger items (Description) | (USD x) | In Cash USD | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | Name of Organiza tion | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | Total USD |
| Outcome 2 | Capacity fo | or coping with natural hazards | s increased | | | | | | | |
| | Output 2.1 | Demonstration plantation of | mangrove plants | | | | | | | |
| | • | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | | Personnel-Botanist | 300 x 2months | | | | CNRS | 400 | | 400 |
| | | Labor | 4 x 300mandays | 1,200 | | | | | | 1,200 |
| | | Inputs | L/S | 6,000 | | | Chevron | 3,000 | | 9,000 |
| | | Workshop, monitoring, etc. | L/S | 500 | | | Chevron | 2,000 | | 2,500 |
| | | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 200 | | | | | | 200 |
| | | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| | Output 2.2 | Demonstration plantation to | create live fence wi | th coconut tr | ees | | | | | |
| | | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | | Personnel-Botanist | 300 x 2months | | | | CNRS | 400 | | 400 |
| | | Labor | 4 x 100mandays | 200 | | 200 | | | | 400 |
| | | Inputs | L/S | 6,000 | | | Chevron | 2,000 | | 8,000 |
| | | Workshop, monitoring, etc. | L/S | 500 | | | Chevron | 2,000 | | 2,500 |
| | | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 200 | | | | | | 200 |
| | | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |

| Outcome & Output | Budget Itoms (Description) | Budget Items | Amount Requested from CBA | Amount from Community | | Amount from other Organizations | | | Total USD |
|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Budget items (Description) | (USD x) | In Cash USD | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | Name of Organiza tion | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | |
| Output 2.3 | Demonstration renovation of | houses to make the | em cyclone r | esilient | | | | | |
| | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | Personnel-Engineer | 300 x 0.5months | | | | CNRS | 600 | | 600 |
| | Mason | 8 x 50mandays | 400 | | | | | | 400 |
| | Labor | 4 x 40mandays | | | 160 | | | | 160 |
| | Inputs | L/S | 6,000 | | 1,500 | Chevron | 4,000 | | 11,500 |
| | Workshop, monitoring, etc. | L/S | 500 | | | Chevron | 1,000 | | 1,500 |
| | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | 200 | | | | | | 200 |
| | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Output 2.4 | Demonstration renovation of | country-boats to m | ake them cy | clone res | ilient | | | | |
| | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | Personnel-Engineer | 300 x 0.5months | | | | CNRS | 600 | | 600 |
| | Mason | 8 x 50mandays | 400 | | | | | | 400 |
| | Labor | 4 x 40mandays | | | 160 | | | | 160 |
| | Inputs | L/S | 3,000 | | 500 | Chevron | 2,000 | | 5,500 |
| | Workshop, monitoring, etc. | L/S | 500 | | | Chevron | 1,000 | | 1,500 |
| | Operating,logistics,etc. | L/S | 200 | | | | | | 200 |
| | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | communication, etc. | L/S | 100 | | | | | | 100 |
| | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |

| Outcome & Output | Budget Items (Description) | Budget Items | Amount Requested from CBA | Amount from Community | | Amount from other Organizations | | | Total USD |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | (USD x) | In Cash USD | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | Name of Organiza tion | In Cash USD | In Kind =USD | |
| Output 2.5 | Construction of killah to pro- | tect cattle during cy | clone and st | orm surg | e | | | | |
| | Personnel-Project Manager | 650 x 2.18months | 490 | | | | | | 490 |
| | Personnel-Field Coordinator | 400 x 2.18months | | | | Chevron | 872 | | 872 |
| | Personnel-Engineer | 300 x 2months | | | | Chevron | 600 | | 600 |
| | Labor & inputs | L/S | | | 1,000 | Chevron | 42,857 | | 43,857 |
| | Workshop, monitoring, etc. | L/S | | | | Chevron | 500 | | 500 |
| | Operating, logistics, etc. | L/S | | | | Chevron | 200 | | 200 |
| | Transport, perdiem, | | | | | | | | |
| | communication, etc. | L/S | | | | Chevron | 500 | | 500 |
| | Office rent, utility, equipments | | | | | CNRS & | | | |
| | & reporting | L/S | | | | Chevron | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| | 48,980 | - | 19,120 | - | 109,538 | 11,000 | 188,638 | | |