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Core Design Dimensions

• Institutional
  • Mandate
  • Scope

• Organizational
  • Structure
  • Function

• Governance
  • Decision-making powers and Authority
  • Ownership of outputs
  • Division of labour
  • Relationships and networks
  • Products and services

• Management
Organizational Design Features

Scope of Mandate vs. Permanence and Entrenchment

Clearinghouse → Platform → Institute

(Statutory Foundation)
Design Factors

• Markets, Products and Services

• Technology, Resources, Capabilities

• Financing and Sustainability
Data Co-ordination; collection
Not generation

Data analysis; data driven research – modelling, scenarios, forecasting

Tailored Reporting for long term planning; sector planning
Communications and Dissemination
Why to (government) Institutes Fail?

• Inability to become legitimized in the eyes of key stakeholders
• Input partners
• Output benefactors
• Policy makers
• Political directorate
• Purse holders (donors)

• Institutes need to be relevant to development context and TIME
Regional Integrated Climate Services Model

Supports the regional implementation of the Global Framework for Climate Services (GFCS)—making operational climate information for decision-making available for the benefit of all.